Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council

Notice of Land Use Committee Meeting and Agenda - MINUTES
Tuesday, September 17, 2019
Highland Park Senior Center
6152 N. Figueroa St., Los Angeles, CA 90042
7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

The public is requested to fill out a “Speaker Card” to address the Board on any agenda item prior to the Board taking action. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker but the Board has the discretion to modify the amount of time for any speaker.

When the Board considers the agenda item entitled “Public Comments,” the public has the right to comment on any matter that is within the Board’s jurisdiction. The public may also comment on a specific item listed on this agenda when the Board considers that item. In addition, the members of the public may request and receive copies without undue delay of any documents that are distributed to the Board, unless there is a specific exemption under the Public Records Act that prevents the disclosure of the record. (Govt. Code § 54957.5)

The Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council holds its regular meetings on the first Thursday of every month and may also call any additional required special meetings in accordance with its Bylaws and the Brown Act. The agenda for the regular and special meetings is posted for public review at: the Fire Station #12 located at 5921 North Figueroa Street and online at www.highlandparknc.com, Facebook @hhpnc, and Instagram @highlandparknc.

The Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and does not discriminate on the basis of any disability. Upon request, the Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council will provide reasonable accommodations to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure the availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at (213) 978-1551 or e-mail to NCSupport@lacity.org. In compliance with Government Code Section 54957.5, nonexempt writings that are distributed to a majority of all board members in advance of a meeting may be viewed at www.highlandparknc.com by clicking the Board agenda link or at the scheduled meeting. If you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact SuzAnn Brantner at (suzann.brantner@highlandparknc.com). To request language services please call the Dept. of Neighborhood Empowerment, City of L.A at (213) 978-1551.

SI REQUIERE SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION, FAVOR DE NOTIFICAR A LA OFICINA 3 DIAS DE TRABAJO (72 horas) ANTES DEL EVENTO. SI NECESITA AYUDA CON ESTA AGENDA, POR FAVOR LLAME A NUESTRA OFICINA AL (213) 978-1551.
1. **Call to Order / Llamada al Orden**

   7:09pm

2. **Roll Call / Llamada de Rol**

   Gemma Marquez  
   Steve Crouch  
   Trish Gossett  
   Rose Serna  
   Nancy Verma  
   Prissma Juarez (Co-chair)

3. **Welcoming / Bienvenido**

   Prissma asks for everyone to let people speak uninterrupted, and to respect and remember the displaced people of Tongva.

4. **[10 min.] Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items Only (Limited to 10 minutes max.; 2 minute per speaker) / Comentarios públicos sólo sobre elementos que no pertenecen a la agenda (Limitado a 10 minutos máximo; 2 minuto por orador)**

   - Charles Watson  
     - Updates on the shops along Figueroa that we wrote letters for, the shop on Ave 50 been approved for 5 year permit  
     - Shop on Ave 64 George’s gas station, had hearing, 4 weeks  
     - Auto repair 2644 Figueroa has received a hearing notice Oct 3rd.  
     - Thanks for council support of the shops.

   - Submitted in writing - Lula Cummings  
     - She is concerned about the lack of choice when going out in the neighborhood, as many new businesses are tailored to specific clientele, and don’t have choices for all ages. We are not a college town, very many family friendly establishments and there is a rich family history here, so please consider that when approving liquor licenses.

**Action Items**

1. **[1 min] Motion to adopt agenda. / Moción para adoptar agenda.**

   - Prissma - for Item #13 the stakeholder is not coming.  
   - Gemma motionsto approve agenda and table #13,  
     - Rose seconds.  
     - All in favor.  
     - Motion passes.
2. [5 min] Motion to approve the July 16, 2019 and August 22, 2019 Land Use Committee meeting minutes. / Acción para aprobar los minutos de la juntas del Comité del Uso de la Tierra de 16 de julio 2019 y 22 de agosto 2019.

- **Board comments:**
  - Nancy - the August minutes have a lot of typos and fragmented sentences, seems unfinished and unedited.
- **Public comments:**
  - none
- **MOTION**
  - Nancy motions to table Aug 22 minutes pending proper editing and approve July 19 minutes.
    - Trish seconds.
    - Aye- Nancy, Gemma, Trish, Steve, Rose
    - No - none
    - Abstain - Prissma
  - Motion passes.

3. [5 min] Report, discussion and possible motion on recent notifications, per HHPNC mail / Reporte, discusión y posible moción sobre notificaciones recientes, del correo del Consejo del Vecindario:
   a. 5043 Almaden Dr. 19019-10000-04289 Demolition detached garage / Demolicion y garaje aparte
   b. 1029 Dexter St 19019-20000-04026 Demolition detached garage / Demolicion y garaje aparte

- **Board Comments:**
  - Prissma - Items A, B came to us at the last meeting and the agenda was worded differently this time so we can have a motion if we want to. Both are demolitions of detached garages. If the board and stakeholders remember the last agenda didn’t allow us to take action considering these demolitions.
  - Trish- these permits are already achieved and projects are ongoing and from her visual inspection she did not see anything misrepresented.
  - Gemma - there is no action needed on these items.
  - Prissma - we did talk about having someone oppose Dexter St demolition
  - Trish - well it’s just for an ADU.
  - Prissma - what is an ADU?
  - Stakeholder responds - Accessory Dwelling Unit

- **Public Comments:**
  - Rick Marquez - a lot of these are for ADU’s and they are common and will be happening for quite a few years. All posting of the permits needs to occur at the site. Maybe we should invite an inspector to the meeting to understand the process.
    - Gemma - yes we should make a request to the planning department to send a representative to our meeting to educate us and find out what is required, and add to the agenda for next time, so the community can be well informed.
c. 116 N Ave 64 19019-10000-04125 Demolition / Demolicion

d. 424 N Holland Ave ENV-2019-5091-CCMP Development on empty lot / Desarrollo en un lote vacío

- **Board Comments:**
  - Trish - it’s a big green house, and they are demolishing the garage structures to build some units back there but nothing has been done
  - Gemma - are their parking requirements?
  - Trish - it’s all asphalt and cars can be accommodated.
  - Prissma - we will keep this one on the radar.

- **Public comments:**
  - Stakeholder: question, how many units they want to build?
    - Trish - they want to build 4 units on 4 garages, permit issued in 2009 so not sure what is going on there, but it’s not going to be anything that is very huge. Info available on DBS.

- **No Motion presented**

4. **[5 min] Discussion and possible motion: General updates on past HHPNC and LUC approved/disapproved projects / Discusión y posible moción: Actualizaciones de licencias aprobados/desaprobados proyectos:**
   a. 110 North Avenue 56 (Mason building / Edificio Mason, ZA-2019-918-CUB)
   b. 801 N Avenue 50 (Mechanic shop, zoning change dismissed / Negocio mechanico, cambio despedido)

- **Board Comments:**
  - Prissma - these are general updates from the past and update from the mechanic’s shop. There are two of them, burgerlords and mechanic’s shop. For the mechanic’s shop the zoning changed was dismissed
    - Stakeholder Charles Watson - that is incorrect, they did not get it dismissed, there were just granted a non-conforming use permit for 5 years
  - Prissma - Burgerlord’s on here again because the language restrained us last time from action at the last meeting, could make a motion to write a letter. because it still needs to get approval, does anyone know anything?
  - Gemma - City has approved them but state has not. Is this just update or are we trying to make a motion of support or opposition?
  - Prissma - I think it would take more time. Did not think of that when making agenda. This is from last time when we were tied and maybe we can put it on the agenda for next time.
  - Gemma - This is time sensitive. This went through when we had a moratorium so that speaks volumes about Cedillo’s office not listening to the community. Burgerlords wants CUB for beer and wine and we need to hear from the public.
• **Public Comments**
  - Antonio Castillo - says that it is very important that we hear from the applicant and we should invite them
  - Rick Marquez - they don’t have to come to the neighborhood council but would be good example to get CD 1 to the table. Needs to be some kind of collaboration. We had a town hall and CD didn’t come to the town hall and they totally ignore us. Urge the committee to follow up. Board should action to CD1 to ignore our request and write a letter to ABC.
  - Caroline Aguirre - We should invite the Burgerlords owner and Cedillo’s office to come as well.

• **MOTION**
  - Gemma motions to invite both parties to Land Use.
    ■ Trish seconds.
    ■ All in favor.
    ■ Prisma will invite both parties to the next Land Use meeting.

5. **Continued** - Discussion and possible motion: 5125-5129 York Blvd. - new proposition add an exterior patio (with 20 seats) to their business. / Discusión y posible moción sobre el nuevo proposición para incluir un patio exterior (con 20 asientos) a el negocio en 5125-5129 York Blvd. – P. Juarez

• **Presentation**
  - Ross Stevenson, proprietor of Hermosillo on York Blvd. This is a plan approval request only, as they have a 2013 CUP approved that they just want to modify. They are just requesting to change the footprint of the business and add an exterior patio to the parking lot area. In order to qualify for plan approval, it needs to be less than 20% of floor plan and seat count, so the request is for 439 SF patio. Has plans to pass around.

• **Board Comments:**
  - Steve Crouch - how many parking spots will be eliminated?
    ■ Ross - Two parking spots. The business currently has 16 spots, will drop to 14 and requirement is 6. Front two spots will be removed. Upon entering the business you can enter the patio which will be fronting the sidewalk to keep it towards York and away from the residences in the back..Been in business for 7 years and has gone well and one thing people have asked for is outdoor dining. It will be an amenity to the neighborhood to have more outdoor dining space. No changes to the building and annexing parking - just a deck patio.
  - Trish - will patio will be open until 2am?
    ■ Ross says patio will shut down before 2am but hopefully only open until midnight. It’s not part of the technical request. People like to bring their dogs and enjoy sitting outside. Our only request is for opening the patio.
  - Nancy - This planning approval request also includes a brewery.
    ■ Ross - City made an error since we already have a permit to brew beer, this request is just for a patio extension.
Gemma - This is already going to heavy inundate that area with expanding more. Have you done outreach to contact specific addresses near the business? Have you kept a journal of names and phone numbers so we can verify? When someone like you comes to ask for things, I would like to ask for things like security guards, not stationary, but on bicycles up and down York Blvd like Pasadena does. We should have an effective chamber of commerce. We need safe businesses and need more parking enforcement. Uber and Lyft zones with policy and policing. Wants a community impact statement. Again we need the support of City and enforcement. 7-11 promised a lot of things that we didn’t get.

Nancy - how will patio interface with York? What is the barrier between York and the patio?

Ross - You must enter the business to enter the patio, you can’t enter and exit through York. There will be a permanent fixed railing barrier and then open above that.

Rose - will smoking be allowed on the patio? Will it be a standup or seated area?

Ross - state does not allow smoking on the patio. There will be tables and benches and communal patio seating as there is inside.

• Public Comment

Jenny Glass - She is a resident of Garvanza and commends owner for coming to the meeting, and supports the patio extension. We need more patios because people have dogs and bicycles. She doesn’t think there are too many bars in Highland Park.

Caroline Aguirre - Neighborhood watch captain, Ave 50 up to Rangeview.. There are a lot of problems with the Hi-Hat that you own. Before you bring this here, you need to reach out to people on Lincoln. People park and block traffic and the driveways of residents. York Blvd is inundated with bars. We need to reach out to the community. We’ve had a couple of shootings, and if bars stay open until 4am that will be another problem.

Rick Marquez - The neighborhood council represents all the community. We represent people who are not here. There is a bar right next to this place and we continue to hear about the unruliness and disrespect to the neighborhood. This has grown so fast that our Police department is restrained and there’s a lot of crime complaints. I don’t live there but this is a problem we have to address. People just want to have fun at a bar and don’t care about the community.

Tony Castillo - thinks this will improve the boulevard and the interaction with the community. It will also put ‘eyes on the streets’ which makes things safer. He suggests that we limit the hours, but overall it will improve that property as well since it’s currently just asphalt.

Jenny Glass - Is there any consideration for permit parking or additional meter parking on York? Yes there should be a security guard.

• MOTION

Nancy - wait before we motion can we ask to Ross respond to the questions and comments about the crime on York Blvd?

Ross - our record can speak for itself, if PD was here we can count on one hand the number of times the cops have been called to our business. We have ourselves placed calls to LAPD when needed.
• Gemma - When is your hearing?
  ■ Ross - No hearing date yet, probably in December.
• Gemma - will you provide a security guard at your site from open to close?
  ■ Ross - we are open to it but not required as part of CUP and we have done it voluntarily in the past.
• Gemma motions to support this project with conditions, that Sargent Curasco of LAPD would clearly outline in great detail things that would protect the community, plus add security, and that the hours of operation do not change. And also provide documented outreach to the community.
  ■ Prissma seconds.
  ■ Ayes: Gemma, Prissma, Steve
  ■ No: Rose, Trish
  ■ Abstain: Nancy
  ■ Motion passes to support the patio extension with conditions mentioned.

6. [10 min] Presentation, discussion and motion to rescind support of the 616-620 North Ave 66 as the Land Use Committee of the Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council. / Presentación, discusión y moción para rescindir apoyo del Comité de Uso de la Tierra parte del Consejo del Vecindario del Histórico Highland Park. – P. Juarez

• Presentation
  ○ Clara Solis, neighbor to this proposed development. Passes out copies of HHPNC’s letter rescinding their support of this project. We aren’t opposed to reasonable development on site. We met with the developer on Sat and he is not willing to make it any smaller. We don’t think class 32 is appropriate for this site. It should be art of HPOZ, as it was a historic monument. Plus they are going to remove trees and it doesn’t fit in with Garvanza. The project is going to remove 20 trees, including 6 protected trees and one endangered. Five trees over 60 feet will be removed. The greenbelt there is used by wildlife, bobcats and coyotes, and the buildings in the back going to be up to 57 ft tall. It is going to look very tall from people in the back. 10-15 neighbors are here to oppose the project. It will increase traffic and cause parking problems. The fire dept regulations say they shouldn’t go back less than 50 feet as per the hillside ordinance. Going to be high like a chimney. Going to remove 4,000 cu ft of earth - another time when someone removed earth from the hillside there was a landslide. Also this is in a liquefaction and earthquake zone. The noise study didn’t consider neighbors below. How will they build sewer system? Wants the Land Use Committee to rescind support of this project. We saw a rendition of what they want to put in there and we want something to fit in the neighborhood. The architect created a false sense of history saying people couldn’t tell what was old and what was new. It is a giant big white box with a flat roof and nothing else in the area that looks anything like it. It doesn’t represent what Highland Park is and doesn’t fit in since we still have a lot of craftsman homes.

• Board Comments
  ○ Prissma - the board has already rescinded support and they are looking for us to specifically rescind support as a committee.
    ■ Clara - Originally when presented, they left out many details about trees and hillside, left out that they’re no sewer.
- **Public Comments**
  - Jeffrey Hernandez - was present when council voted to rescind. Those familiar with original presentation were shocked when they saw the project presented to the council.
  - Jenny Glass - This project is way over scaled, also interrupts the wildlife. The style of architecture is out of style of neighborhood. The architects are trying to sneak things in.
  - Antonio Castillo - The project is larger than what it should be. Since it has already been approved by City - what’s on the table is an appeal. Committee should address the appeal. Any action that council takes on the letter to the City should be about the appeal.
  - Clara Solis (presenter) - While city approved it the hearing was cut short because of improper notice. It was rescheduled and developer asked for more time, and it is still pending. We want more specificity in the actual appeal. Instead of asking to rescind I ask that we support the appeal instead of rescinding approval of project.
  - Rick Marquez - go to ave 56 and York building 20 units which is a square box, different parts of highland parks are getting different consideration on the part of the council. We need a voice on what’s going to happen. Developers coming in and building only luxury housing for convenience. Not going to help the homeless issue, we need to design what we want overall and not just in particular spots.
    - Trish - that was a misstep on that build - when developer came in, they broke the promise they made to the council. Did not have community support before like what we have now. This lot on 66 - we feel that 13 units is too many.
  - Caroline - would like to find out who signed off on this. There are more indictments coming down in City Planning commission - is there pay to play on this? Most residents have 2-3 cars not just one. 13 units going to add 40 bedrooms, and they are only required to have 2 parking spaces per unit.

- **MOTION**
  - Gemma - was here for 56th & York and wants to write a letter to support the appeal. Wants to come up with a land development community impact guideline form that we have a document that we can always refer to. Land use will take it seriously. It has to be a template for guidelines.
  - Case number for appeal - see attached motions. VTT- 8295SL, ZA 2018-4804
  - Gemma motions to rescind the approval of this project and support the appeal.
  - All in favor.
  - Motion passes to support the appeal of this project’s approval by the City.

7. **[10 min.] Discussion Item and motion to rescind support for CUB located at 5035 York Blvd due to their new hours of operation posted on Planning Department CUB application. Item will be sent to the HHPNC board for final approval. Original HHPNC Letter of Support was finalized at the August 20, 2019 HHPNC General Board Meeting. / Discusión y moción a rescindir apoyo por el Permiso a Vender Licor en 5035 York Blvd sobre sus nuevas horas de operaciones publicados en su Aplicación para la Licencia con el Departamento de Planificación. Después se va ir a la Junta General de Consejo del Vecindario para él aprobó final. La letra original fue finalizada el 20 de agosto 2019 en la Junta General de Consejo del Vecindario – R. Serna**
• **Board Comments**
  
  ○ Prissma - This is a discussion and motion item to rescind support for 5035 York Blvd CUP since they changed the hours we approved.
  ○ Rose, we supported this originally but it was brought to the board’s attention that the permit was submitted for being those hours.

• **Public Comments:**
  
  ○ Jeremy [Lipenson] - is the architect for this project and he made a mistake when submitting the paperwork with City Planning. They requested other things and he simply made an error that he communicated to board members Gracie Maynetto and Stephanie Maynetto-Jackson. This is for a wine tastings. Tentative Placeholder name is Market at York.
  ○ Rick Marquez - when we used to have meetings the liquor license applicants would do one thing and say something different. We take this seriously, and little things like this we see an opportunity. The application said midnight not 7pm, and if you can say anything you can say you made a mistake. Once the city approves it are they going to resend hard copy of application form? The CUB is already created, so are they going to modify the existing application?

• **MOTION**
  
  ○ Gemma motions to rescind our letter and then sign off again when the application is changed. Once they change the errors they come back to us. Recommendation is to the board to rescind approval.
    ■ Prissma seconds.
    ■ Ayes - Rose, Gemma, Trish, Steve, Prissma.
    ■ No - none.
    ■ Abstain - Nancy
    ■ Motion passes.

8. **[15 min] Discussion and motion: New HHPNC Liquor License CIS guidelines for approval/disapproval of future CUP/CUB requests.** This motion has been assigned to the HHPNC Land Use Committee by the HHPNC Board. / **Discusión y posible moción: Nuevas guías del Consejo del Vecindario sobre las Declaraciones de Impacto Comunitario (DICs) de acuerdo/no de acuerdo de aplicaciones en el futuro.** – P. Juarez
  
  • **Board Comments**
    ○ Gemma - passes out a proposal to create guidelines for approval of alcohol license for the board to read.

  • **Public Comments**
    ○ Antonio Castillo - point of clarification. You need to refer to it as alcohol license, not liquor license because that would exclude beer and wine licenses from the guidelines.
    ○ Shannon - she had no idea that the board was operating without a lot of community support. Thinks template is amazing and that more work that can be done. Glad to know how it can be done and how rich it is to be a citizen of this community.
○ Rick Marquez - in the past and now you’ll get the same rhetoric that our voice doesn’t matter. We were told by DONE that we need 20 people in shirts at city council meetings. Our community needs to have a better voice - we have to do whatever we can do. Three of the people on this committee are on outreach and hammer away at them. If they’re not listening then this is just a social club.

○ Charles Watson - wants clarification that this is for alcohol licenses CUP’s only and not all CUP’s which can be for many different things.

● **MOTION**
  ○ Gemma - Motions for us to come together as a land use committee, and then convene again with outreach committee. We must include CD14 and CD1, and write a CIS statement.
  ○ Rose Seconds.
  ○ All in favor.

9. **Discussion and motion:** to schedule an additional meeting for the HHPNC LUC to finish Liquor License Community Impact Statement (CIS) guidelines, so to be placed on the next HHPNC board meeting agenda. / Discusión y moción: para programar una junta adicional para el Comité del Uso de la Tierra del Consejo del Vecindario para terminar las guías para Declaraciones de Impacto Comunitario (DIC), para que se valla discutir en la próxima la Junta General de Consejo del Vecindario. – G. Marquez

● **Board Comments:**
  ● Gemma - wants to reflect the wishes of the community and do it this month before the date of this month’s board meeting.
  ● Nancy - The October board meeting is already packed and we can only add time sensitive items.
  ● Prissma - This is time sensitive if we say it is.
  ● Gemma - Rules Committee made changes to bylaws. We stopped Sunset beer. One of those stakeholders not here because she’s pregnant. Will it get done? A lot of things happened in this board and nobody was here to stop them. When you serve as a board member you are not a stakeholder - every single person complains about the monstrosity that is there. Proposes that we do this meeting next Sunday September 22nd and dedicate ourselves to do outreach.
  ● Nancy - That is five days away. There is no way we can do outreach in time to get the community there. It is just not enough time. If we are going to do this we should have at least a month lead time and to invite the officials.
  ● Gemma - No this has to make it on the agenda before the next board meeting and the following Sunday is too close to Halloween.
  ● Nancy - well at the very least if you must do it before the next agenda then at least set the date for the following Sunday, Halloween is not a good reason.
• Public Comments

• Rick Marquez - wants clarification of why the agenda is full and can’t address an issue that should have been addressed already.

• Antonio Castillo - It is a great thing to have this conversation but it not appropriate to schedule it so soon because it doesn’t give people enough time to get community support.

• MOTION

• Gemma motions to do Sunday the 29th for CUP guidelines/alcohol template meeting in order to have time to do outreach. Location and time but Rose will check with the library. It will be sometime between 1-5pm, aiming for 1pm.
  ■ Trish seconds
  ■ Aye - Gemma, Trish, Rose, Steve, Prissma
  ■ No - none
  ■ Abstain - Nancy

10. [10 min] Discussion and motion: to extend liquor license moratorium set forth by the previous board until the HHPNC approves plan and guidelines for future CUP/CUB applications. / Discusión y moción: para— P. Juarez

MINUTES WERE LOST FOR THIS ITEM TO DUE A FILE CORRUPTION ISSUE

11. [5 min] Discussion and Motion: to address CD1 and CD14 regarding liquor licenses moratorium. / Discusión y moción: para abordar CD1 y CD14 sobre el moratoria de licencias de licor. – G. Marquez

   TABLED

12. [10 min] Discussion and possible motion to address the Mount Angelus stairway accessibility. / Discusión y posible moción a abordar las escaleras en Mount Angelus. – G. Maynetto

• Presentation

  ○ Mannion lives at the bottom of Los Angeles Angelus, near the staircases which are being privately controlled and not available for public access. She wants to ask the committee about the nature of staircases and why they are closed to the public. Legally they are public. They belong to me and you. Times have changed and people would like to use them. Staircases provide great access. It was the late 90’s when they [gave the staircases to the adjacent properties]. You can’t take away public space for private residence. It would be beyond wonderful for us to use these staircases and claim it back. This is not us vs them. These are used privately and are in very good shape. Other staircases are in bad shape. We need people to investigate. She reached out to committee member Melanie about this and wants LAPD to have an opinion. Seven staircases closed off and three are open. Talk to people that live there. One property on outlook that people are close to it. Going to knock on the doors of the people who live next to the stairs.
Board Comments
- Gemma - Who’s the council person there?
  - Mannion - thinks it is CD1 but not sure.

Public Comments
- Stakeholder (did not get name)- They have these in Echo Park and their beautifully wonderful but there is more crime there.

MOTION
- Trish motions to table to next meeting that we explore this further - and find out who’s doing this historic work.
  - Gemma, seconds.
  - All in favor.
  - Motion to table and explore further passes.

13. [10 min] 1484 N Ave 57 & 1447 N Highgate Ave - Discussion and possible motion regarding the construction of a single-family dwelling on a through lot fronting on two Substandard Hillside Limited Streets, without providing a 20-foot wide paved roadway adjacent to the property’s secondary frontage. / Discusión y posible moción sobre el construcion de una casa donde hay dos calles que caen bajo la categoría “Substandard Hillside Limited Streets” (que exige que tenga calzada pavimentada de 20 pies de ancho adyacente a la fachada secundaria de la propiedad), sin los 20 pies. – P. Juarez

  TABLED

14. [10 min] 3547-3585 N Figueroa Street - Discussion and possible motion for a Letter of Opposition to the planned development. / Discusión y posible moción por una Letra de Oposición para el desarrollo propuesto. – G. Marquez

Presentation
- Chris, stakeholder - This is regarding a proposed development that is very out of scale with the neighborhood for 55 luxury apartments. We can’t plan a city around complete giveaways to developers. 38 feet is the limit for transitional development but this project is proposing 65 feet. Giant buildings are being developed and that is wrong. Figueroa Blvd is our corridor but now it’s becoming the gentrifying place. What is the tallest building in Highland Park? The Cypress Park NC voted on Tuesday to send a letter in opposition. This is too big for us and is just a giveaway to developers. We need the housing but it should be to scale and affordable. Wantsthe council to stand with Cypress Park NC and oppose this development. This is technically in CP but very close to Highland Park and will have a big impact.
  - Gustavo, stakeholder - Asking for possible motion to a letter of opposition for this monstrosity.

Board Comments
- Trish - we need to support NELA communities. We are stronger when we bond together. Let’s make sure we support Cypress Park.

Public Comments
- Clara Solis - The Garvanza Association also opposes this development.
• **MOTION**
  ○ Gemma motions to write a support letter of opposition, with language to mention Cypress Park’s letter of Opposition.
    ■ Trish seconds.
    ■ All in favor.
    ■ Trish and Gemma will draft the motion.

15. [5 min] Discussion and clarification of Land Use Committee duties to the HHPNC. / Discusión y clarificación de las responsabilidades del Comité del Uso de la Tierra al Consejo del Vecindario de Histórico Highland Park (CVHHLP). – P. Juarez

    TABLED

16. **New Business / Nuevos temas**

    ITEM SKIPPED OVER

17. **Adjournment / Levantar la sesión**

    No motion to adjourn - 9:21pm